

PETROLOGY AND DIAGENESIS  
OF THE LOWER SILURIAN TUSCARORA SANDSTONE,  
KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the  
Requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Science  
in the  
College of Arts and Sciences  
of  
West Virginia University

by

Katherine R. Bruner

Morgantown

West Virginia

1983

## ABSTRACT

In west-central West Virginia, the Lower Silurian Tuscarora Sandstone is a fine-grained to conglomeratic quartz arenite. It is of particular interest because of its production of gas which is commonly rich in CO<sub>2</sub>. This present study is mainly concerned with the diagenetic processes and porosity development of the Tuscarora based on a core from the David Ward well No. 64 (Kan. 2751) in Kanawha County. In this area the Tuscarora is approximately 90 feet (27.5 m.) thick, of which the lower 51 feet (15.5 m.) were cored.

Many environments of deposition have previously been proposed for the Tuscarora. Based on sedimentary structures, grain size variations, and trace fossils contained in the core, the Tuscarora appears to have been deposited as a coastal sand in an environment characterized by high energy, shallow water, and high sedimentation rates.

The chief cement in the Tuscarora is secondary quartz, and this is the main cause of porosity reduction. Secondary quartz occurs as anhedral to euhedral overgrowths, conformal overgrowths, and meniscus cement. Pressure solution is also an important factor in reducing porosity, and is particularly enhanced by the presence of illite as grain coatings or along laminae.

Differential cementation of the Tuscarora is apparently widespread. It appears to be as

well-developed in Kanawha County as in the outcrop belt in eastern West Virginia. Variations in cementation along and across beds produce a lattice network around porous zones, and also produce sharp contacts between uncemented and tightly cemented layers. In some areas, differential cementation leaves a cup or cone of porosity between grains directly above large quartz grains and clay clasts.

Porosity overall, and particularly in the differentially cemented areas, appears to be primary in origin as a result of incomplete cementation, and is related to the presence of clays. Clays, particularly illite, have prevented the nucleation of quartz and thereby preserved porosity. Dewatering of sediments, burrowing, and the presence of gas bubbles have been proposed as possible mechanisms controlling the distribution of clay. Porosity is also related to grain size and shows an increase with grains larger than fine sand.

Dissolution of<sup>+</sup> soluble minerals, such as feldspar, is responsible for minor amounts of secondary porosity in the Tuscarora. Other changes in the rock include replacement of quartz, possible clay alteration, and the precipitation of carbonate and sulfate cements.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT .....	ii
INTRODUCTION .....	1
Purpose .....	4
Method of Investigation .....	4
BACKGROUND WORK .....	6
STRATIGRAPHY .....	9
DEPOSITIONAL ENVIRONMENTS .....	12
GENERAL LITHOLOGY .....	36
MINERAL CONSTITUENTS .....	38
Quartz .....	41
Illite .....	45
Feldspar .....	49
Carbonates .....	52
Heavy Minerals .....	53
Miscellaneous constituents .....	54
CEMENTATION .....	57
DIFFERENTIAL CEMENTATION .....	68
Possibility of Differential Cementation Related To Secondary Porosity .....	71
Differential Cementation Related To Primary Porosity .....	76
Dewatering .....	76
Trace Fossils .....	90
Gas Pockets .....	96
DIAGENESIS OF FELDSPAR .....	99
MINOR DIAGENETIC MINERALS .....	101
Carbonates .....	101
Sulphates .....	104
Hematite .....	106
PRESSURE SOLUTION .....	108
SECONDARY POROSITY .....	112
ORIGIN OF POROSITY .....	116
DIAGENETIC SEQUENCE .....	119

CONCLUSIONS ..... 122  
REFERENCES ..... 124  
APPENDIX I ..... 134  
APPENDIX II ..... 142

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	PAGE
1. Isopach map of Tuscarora Sandstone in West Virginia and David Ward well No. 64 location .....	2
2. Tuscarora gas production .....	3
3. Stratigraphic nomenclature and gross lithologies of Upper Ordovician through Silurian rocks ..	11
4. Tuscarora paleogeography as proposed by Yeakel (1962) .....	15
5a. Gamma-ray curve, sections of core, sedimentary structures, distribution of burrows, porosity, permeability .....	20
5b. Gamma-ray curve of Tuscarora .....	21
6. Inversely graded laminae .....	24
7. Storm sequence .....	26
8. Low-angle parallel bedding with <u>Skolithus</u> .....	28
9. Dish structures .....	30
10. Red shale and sandstone .....	33
11. Boehm lamellae aligned in planes .....	43
12a. Illite unevenly coating grains and at grain to grain contacts .....	47
12b. Morphology and orientation of illite .....	47
13. Feldspar replaced by quartz and carbonate .....	50
14. Relict euhedral feldspar grain preserved as ghost in replacement quartz .....	50
15. Carbonate replacing clay .....	52
16. Carbonate replacing quartz .....	52
17. Heavy minerals concentrated along stylolite ...	55
18. Mosaic texture of advanced quartz cementation .....	58

19. Prismatic quartz overgrowths .....	58
20. Incipient quartz overgrowths .....	60
21. Quartz overgrowth distorted by clay .....	60
22. Eccentric quartz overgrowth .....	61
23. Conformal quartz overgrowth .....	63
24. Meniscus cement .....	66
25. Differential cementation .....	69
26. Incipient quartz overgrowths (SEM) .....	75
27. Cyclic nature of dish structured sandstone .... (after Lowe and LoPiccollo, 1974)	82
28. Lattice cementation .....	82
29. Sharp contact between clay-bearing and clay-free areas in dish laminated sandstone (after Lowe and LoPiccollo, 1974) .....	83
30. Sharp contact between clay-coated and clay-free areas (porosity and cement) in Tuscarora ....	83
31. <u>Skolithus</u> and differential cementation .....	91
32. <u>Aristophycus</u> and differential cementation .....	92
33. Porosity in burrows .....	94
34. Porosity as cap over large quartz grain .....	97
35. Carbonate associated with clay coatings .....	102
36. Carbonate rhomb in void formed by dissolution of feldspar .....	105
37. Hematite as pore filling .....	107
38. Pressure solution .....	111
39. Pressure solution involving detrital quartz grain but not secondary cement .....	111
40. Secondary moldic porosity .....	113
41. Secondary intragranular porosity .....	113

Table I - Proposed depositional environments  
for the Tuscarora ..... 6

Table II - Mineral percentages and porosity ..... 39



## CONCLUSIONS

The Tuscarora Sandstone, a Lower Silurian ortho-quartzite, is of particular interest in Kanawha County, West Virginia because of its production of gas which is commonly rich in CO<sub>2</sub>. Porosity in the Tuscarora, as studied in a 51 ft. core recovered from the David Ward Well No. 64, appears to be primary in origin as a result of incomplete cementation. Cementation has been adversely affected by the presence of clays which coat the quartz grains and inhibit the development of secondary overgrowths. Porosity is also related to grain size in the Tuscarora, and drastically increases with grain sizes larger than fine sand. Porosity is best developed in the lower and central portions of the core where grain size is medium to pebbly and clays coat the grains.

Secondary quartz is the primary cement in the Tuscarora and is responsible for almost total elimination of porosity in the fine-grained sandstones. Secondary quartz has also replaced much of the feldspar, which was originally present in the rock.

Small amounts of secondary porosity <sup>have</sup> developed in the fine-grained sandstones in the form of moldic and intraconstituent porosity. This porosity formed from the dissolution of unstable or soluble minerals, such as feldspar.

Differential cementation, a particular characteris-

tic of the Tuscarora, is as widespread in the subsurface as in the outcrop belt. All types of this cementation are developed in core. Sharp boundaries between cemented and porous areas, and distinct geometries of the porous and cemented areas are features of differential cementation. Grains in the porous areas are clay-coated, while grains in the cemented areas are clay-free. The clays inhibit quartz overgrowths, and the distribution of clays may have been controlled by the dewatering of sediments, burrowing, or the presence of gas pockets or the degassing of sediments.

Fluvial and marine environments have been proposed for deposition of the Tuscarora. Based on sedimentary structures and sequences, grain size variations, and the distribution and frequency of burrows, the Tuscarora appears to have been deposited as a coastal sand in an environment characterized by high and fluctuating energy levels, shallow water, and high sedimentation rates.